



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/507,210	09/15/2004	Makoto Kawamura	257909US6PCT	5092

  

22850	7590	05/01/2008
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.		
1940 DUKE STREET		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		

  

EXAMINER	
KAMAL, SHAHID	

  

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3621	

  

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
05/01/2008	ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com  
oblonpat@oblon.com  
jgardner@oblon.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/507,210	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KAWAMURA, MAKOTO	
	<b>Examiner</b> SHAHID KAMAL	<b>Art Unit</b> 3621	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) SHAHID KAMAL.

(3) ZACHARY STERN.

(2) JALATEE WORJLOH.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 23 April 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: Claim 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Ganesan and Ginter.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative discussed the differences between the prior art and the claimed invention. The Examiner agreed that the cited sections [from the Office Action] do not specially teach the determining means and reporting means. Thus, upon receiving an Official Response, Ginter and Ganesan will be further reviewed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Jalatee Worjloh/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3621

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required